## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

1

2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA										
3											
4	CHERYL WILLIAMS,	)									
5	Plaintiff	)									
6	V.	) Case No.:									
7	VERDE ENERGY USA, INC.,	) ) COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR									
8		) JURY TRIAL									
9	Defendant	)									
10	COM	DI ATNIT									
11	<u>COMPLAINT</u>										
12	CHERYL WILLIAMS ("Plain	ntiff"), by and through her attorneys									
13	KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against VERDE ENERGY										
14	USA, INC. ("Defendant"):										
15	INTRODUCTION										
16											
17 18	1. Plaintiff's Complaint is ba	sed on the Telephone Consumer Protection									
19	Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.										
20	JURISDICTION AND VENUE										
21	2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. See										
22	Mims v. Arrow Fin. Services, LLC, 132 S. Ct. 740, 747, 181 L. Ed. 2d 881 (2012)										
23 24	3. Defendant conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania										
25	and as such, personal jurisdiction is established.										
=-											

3

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2). 4.

### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing Tarentum, Pennsylvania 15084.
- Plaintiff is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39). 6.
- Defendant is a corporation that has its office located in Norwalk, 7. Connecticut 06851.
- Defendant is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. 8. §153(39).
- Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, 9. directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- Plaintiff has a cellular telephone number that she has had for more 10. than one year.
  - Plaintiff has only used this number as a cellular telephone number. 11.
- The phone number has been assigned to a cellular telephone service 12. for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls.
- 13. Plaintiff never provided permission to Defendant to call her cellular telephone number to contact her regarding any goods or services offered by Defendant.

1	14.	Beginning	in	or	around	December	2014,	and	continuing	through	
January 2015, Defendant called Plaintiff on her cellular telephone.											

- 15. When contacting Plaintiff on her cellular telephone, Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system and automated and/or pre-recorded messages.
- 16. Defendant's messages would state its name and that its call was "for marketing purposes."
  - 17. Defendant's telephone calls were not made for "emergency purposes."
- 18. For example, Defendant called Plaintiff on December 4, 2014, at 12:00 p.m.

# DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- 19. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 20. Defendant initiated multiple automated telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone using a prerecorded voice.
- 21. Defendant initiated these automated calls to Plaintiff using an automatic telephone dialing system.
  - 22. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were not made for emergency purposes.
- 23. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff, in and after December 2014, were not made with Plaintiff's prior express consent.

- 24. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the purpose of harassing Plaintiff.
- 25. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly, unlawfully, intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal defense, legal justification or legal excuse.
- 26. As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered the losses and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of statutory, actual and trebles damages.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, CHERYL WILLIAMS, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A);
- b. Statutory damages of \$500.00 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
- c. Treble damages of \$1,500 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3);
- d. Injunctive relief pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3);

Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court. e. 1 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 2 3 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, CHERYL WILLIAMS, demands a 4 jury trial in this case. 5 6 7 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, 8 DATED: April 3, 2015 KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C. 9 10 By: s/ Craig Thor Kimmel\_ CRAIG THOR KIMMEL 11 Attorney ID # 57100 12 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike 13 Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888 14 Fax: (877) 788-2864 15 Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25